## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAURIE GIBBS HARRIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NORTHWESTERN INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC; and NORTHWESTERN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendants.

No. C 10-1763 CW

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS'
DECLARATION
REGARDING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO SEAL

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(d), Defendants Northwestern
Investment Management Company, LLC, and Northwestern Mutual Life
Insurance Company have filed a declaration supporting Plaintiff
Laurie Gibbs Harris's June 9, 2011 administrative motion for leave
to file documents under seal. Defendants ask the Court to seal her
brief in opposition to their motion for summary judgment, the
Supplemental Declaration of John A. McGuinn and its supporting
exhibits, and Plaintiff's Declaration.

Plaintiff's filings are connected to a dispositive motion. Because Defendants designated the documents at issue as confidential, they must file a declaration establishing that the documents are sealable. Civ. L.R. 79-5(d). To do so, Defendants "must overcome a strong presumption of access by showing that 'compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings . . . outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.'" Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 679 (9th Cir. 2010) (citation omitted). This cannot be

10 particle Count in District of California 12 13 14 15

established simply by showing that the document is subject to a protective order or by stating in general terms that the material is considered to be confidential, but rather must be supported by a sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to file each document under seal. Civ. L.R. 79-5(a).

Defendants' declaration does not justify sealing Plaintiff's documents in their entirety. For instance, although salary information located on pages 14 and 15 of Plaintiff's opposition may be confidential, the balance of the brief does not appear to reveal sensitive information. Defendants' request is not narrowly tailored, as required by Civil L.R. 79-5(a).

Within three days of the date of this Order, Defendants shall make a narrowly-tailored sealing request. Defendants shall include with their request copies of Plaintiff's documents reflecting their proposed redactions of confidential information. If Defendants do not file such a request, Plaintiff shall electronically file her documents in the public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 30, 2011

adiale)

United States District Judge

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28